

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,314	10/17/2000	Alain Georges	DBT-001	5229
7:	590 03/25/2003			
LOUDERMILK & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3607 LOS ALTOS, 0	7 CA 94024-0607		DONELS, JEFFREY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
DATE MAILED: 03/2				3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
, <sup>*</sup> .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	K/			
Office Asticus Communication	09/691,314	GEORGES, ALAIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Donels	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears n the cover	sheet with the correspondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, howe eply within the statutory mini d will apply and will expire S tte, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed  mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continued become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2003					
<u> </u>	This action is non-fir	nal				
, <u> </u>			a merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from considera	ition.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
· ·	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/691,314

Art Unit: 2837

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabriel in view of Aoki et al. (US Patent No. 4,399,731)

Regarding Claims 3,4,13,14,26,27, Gabriel (See especially Figs. 1-3, Col. 7 lines 6-20, Col. 8 lines 37-44, Col. 12) discloses all features claimed but does not explicitly disclose the automatic composition feature, the display features, the microphone and compression features, or the radio features claimed.

Aoki et al. discloses an apparatus for automatically composing a musical piece (See Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the Gabriel teachings with those of Aoki, as both inventions are narrowly directed towards the art of musical devices that enable a user to easily and simply compose musical pieces.

With regard to the display, microphone, and compression features, it is noted that these recited features would have been notoriously old and well-known in the art of computer audio and computer audio software. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the Gabriel/Aoki combination with these teachings, so as to be able to expand the number of audio sources available to the user of the Gabriel/Aoki device.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,314

Art Unit: 2837

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 703-308-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-308-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Jeffrey Donels
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837